EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS ON BUILT-UP COLD-FORMED STEEL BEAMS USING MIG BRAZING

Viorel Ungureanu*, Ioan Both*, Daniel Tunea**, Marius Grosan*, Calin Neagu*, Mircea Georgescu* and Dan Dubina*

* Politehnica University of Timisoara, Department of Steel Structures and Structural Mechanics e-mails: viorel.ungureanu@upt.ro, ioan.both@upt.ro, gmbmaryus@yahoo.com, calin.neagu@upt.ro, dan.dubina@upt.ro

** Politehnica University of Timisoara, Department of Materials and Manufacturing Engineering e-mail: daniel.tunea@upt.ro

Keywords: Built-up beams; Corrugated web; Cold Metal Transfer; MIG Brazing; Experimental tests.

Abstract. The WELLFORMED research project, ongoing at the CEMSIG Research Center of the Politehnica University of Timisoara, proposes to study two welding solution to obtain built-up beams made of corrugated steel sheets for the web and thin-walled cold-formed steel profiles for the flanges, *i.e.* (1) spot welding and (2) MIG brazing. The paper presents the research performed on such built-up beams using MIG brazing as connecting technique, while the research on similar beams using spot welding was already presented in an accompanying paper. The research started with the investigations on lap joints specimens, material testing and full-scale testing of beams, highlighting the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed solutions.

1 INTRODUCTION

Built-up beams made of cold-formed steel elements represent an attractive solution due to their efficient use of material and their standardized design according to EN 1993 [1-3].

The technical solution of corrugated web beams with parallel flanges made of thin-walled cold-formed steel lipped channel sections connected using spot welding was studied within the WELLFORMED research project ongoing in the CEMSIG Research Center of the Politehnica University of Timisoara and was already presented in [4]. In order to evaluate the response of such beams using other types of connection between the beam components, the MIG brazing is considered for the current study.

The spot welding technique for connecting the components of built-up beams was presented in [4], and the results showed an increased rigidity and decreased ductility with a staged failure caused by both the distortions of the web corrugations and the spot welding failure after reaching maximum force, when compared to the self-drilling screws solution [5,6].

The new proposed solution for the built-up beams is based on an arc brazing process with lower heat input, with filler material and with inert gas shielding, MIG brazing. Although the brazing represents a joining process for a vast variety of materials, light-gauge steel sheets present a particularity due to the corrosive protection in the shape of zinc coating. Due to the vaporization of zinc, at around 906 °C, the welding may show pores, cracking and unsteady arc, making the use of bronze welding wires more suitable [7]. By this technique the level of corrosion protection is close to its original value. The brazing concept is not new but the developments of the filler material by copper alloys made this technique more attractive [8].

A detailed overview of the joining of different sheets by Cold Metal Transfer (CMT), a process that is contained in the MIG welding category, is presented in [9], in relation to its

applications in the industry. Studies on the process parameters and shielding gases influences in MIG brazed joints of the thin zinc coated steel plates were performed in [10] listing the adequate gases to be used, heat input and welding speed to be set for a good stability of the welding. Similar investigations on aluminum alloys were also presented in [11].

Also, of high interest is MIG welding-brazing process for aluminium alloys and stainless steels but an accurate parameter setting for the weld is necessary for a good joint [12].

Recent studies are directed either towards the welding of high strength steels [13] where microstructure changes are affecting the mechanical properties or towards the welding of dissimilar metals [14] where the joint may become brittle.

The Cold Metal Transfer is in continuous progress as the improvements presented in [15] are proving, by the addition of pulses to the conventional welding process, the performance of the technique.

The paper presents the results of the experimental program performed on lap joint specimens subjected to tension, base material tensile tests and full-scale tests on built-up beams of corrugated web and cold-formed steel elements as flanges connected by MIG brazing.

2 EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

A research on the new connecting solution to be used for built-up cold-formed steel beams with the cross-section made of corrugated steel webs and flanges made of thin-walled cold-formed steel profiles, i.e. MIG brazing, is in progress within the CEMSIG Research Center (http://www.ct.upt.ro/en/centre/cemsig) of the Politehnica University of Timisoara under the WELLFORMED research project. The research project involves a large experimental program on lap joint specimens subjected to tension, material tests and tests on full scale beams, to assess the response of the proposed solution followed by numerical simulations to optimize the connecting technique and to extend the solution applicability by parametric numerical studies.

The proposed solution enlarges the data base and knowledge on the built-up beams using cold-formed steel components previously developed within the CEMSIG Research Center, in which five corrugated web beams with flanges of back-to-back cold-formed lipped channel steel profiles were tested, having a span of 5157 mm and a height of 600 mm, with different arrangements/configurations for the self-drilling screws position and for shear panels as presented in [5,6] as well as for beams connected using spot welding [4].

The joining of thin steel sheets by MIG brazing requires a welding technique which induces a lower thermal input in order to avoid the melting of the base material but still melting the filler material. An improved equipment based on electric pulses produced by REHM GmbH, MEGAPULS.FOCUS 330, was used for the brazing process. The filler material was CuAl 8 according to EN 14640 [16], wire with 1 mm diameter.

The experimental results consist of tensile tests on lap joint specimens with various thickness combinations, tensile tests on base material and tests on three full scale built-up beams.

2.1 The lap joint specimens

As the full scale built-up beams consist of joining various thinner sheets of the web to the thicker flanges or shear panels, lap joint specimens were tested to assess their response to mechanical loading.

Seven specimens having a width of 50 mm, as shown in Figure 1, and welded with two beads, were tested for each combination of sheets thicknesses as presented in Table 1. Similar specimens were tested in [4] but using spot welding.



Figure 1: The dimensions of the lap joint specimens.

Name	t_1	t_2	No. of
	[mm]	[mm]	tests
CMT-0.8-0.8	0.80	0.80	7
CMT-0.8-1.0	0.80	1.00	7
CMT-0.8-1.2	0.80	1.20	7
CMT-0.8-1.5	0.80	1.50	7
CMT-0.8-2.0	0.80	2.00	7
CMT-0.8-2.5	0.80	2.50	7
CMT-1.0-1.0	1.00	1.00	7
CMT-1.0-1.2	1.00	1.20	7
CMT-1.0-1.5	1.00	1.50	7
CMT-1.0-2.0	1.00	2.00	7
CMT-1.0-2.0	1.00	2.50	7
CMT-1.2-1.2	1.20	1.20	7
CMT-1.2-1.5	1.20	1.50	7
CMT-1.2-2.0	1.20	2.00	7
CMT-1.2-2.5	1.20	2.50	7
CMT-1.5-1.5	1.50	1.50	7
CMT-1.5-2.0	1.50	2.00	7
CMT-1.5-2.5	1.50	2.50	7
CMT-2.0-2.0	2.00	2.00	7
CMT-2.0-2.5	2.00	2.50	7

Table 1: Types of lap joint specimens.

The experimental tests were conducted on a UTS universal testing machine where the load was monitored by the machine load cell and the elongation was monitored by the Zwick contact extensometer. The distance between the sensors of the extensometer was set to 80 mm (see Figure 2).



Figure 2: Testing of a lap joint brazed specimen.

In the initial testing of the welding machine a major importance for the welding quality is the controlling of MIG brazing speed. A big difference between the manual control (see Figure 3(a)) and semi-automatic control (see Figure 3(b)) is observed at least for the visual aspect of the welding.



Figure 3: MIG brazing a) manual control, b) semi-automatic control.

The performed tests revealed the two failure modes, i.e. fracture of the material close to the weld, in the heat affected zone, as shown in Figure 4(a), and base material fracture (see Figure 4(b)). The second type of failure was seldom encountered, most of the failures being categorized as failure in the heat affected zone. The measured dimensions and failure modes are presented in Table 2.



Figure 4: Failure modes of the lap joint specimens: a) fracture in the heat affected zone; b) base material fracture.

Table 2: Measured dimensions and failure modes of MIG brazing specimens.

Spacimon	$\min(t)$	b_{nom}	$b_{measured}$	Egilura modo	
specifien	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	Failure mode	
CMT-0.8-0.8	0.79	50	49.76	Heat affected zone	
CMT-0.8-1.0	0.79	50	49.76	Heat affected zone	
CMT-0.8-1.2	0.80	50	49.78	Heat affected zone	
CMT-0.8-1.5	0.81	50	49.77	Heat affected zone	
CMT-0.8-2.0	0.81	50	49.67	Heat affected zone	
CMT-0.8-2.5	0.82	50	49.82	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.0-1.0	1.02	50	49.16	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.0-1.2	1.00	50	49.84	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.0-1.5	0.99	50	49.94	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.0-2.0	1.00	50	49.64	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.0-2.5	1.00	50	50.06	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.2-1.2	1.19	50	49.56	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.2-1.5	1.20	50	49.59	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.2-2.0	1.20	50	49.84	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.2-2.5	1.21	50	49.82	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.5-1.5	1.50	50	49.77	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.5-2.0	1.49	50	49.76	Heat affected zone	
CMT-1.5-2.5	1.50	50	49.72	Heat affected zone	
CMT-2.0-2.0	2.00	50	49.99	Heat affected zone	
CMT-2.0-2.5	1.93	50	50.02	Heat affected zone	

Although not all specimens failed by base material fracture, it can be seen the MIG brazing possesses a very good resistance.

Since similar steel sheets were used in the solution of the built-up beams using spot welding, the tensile test results on the base material presented in [4], are valid for the current solution where, the Cold Metal Transfer is used.

2.2 Full scale beam specimen tests

For the full-scale specimen tests, three beams were built-up, namely CWB-CMT1, CWB-CMT2 and CWB-CMT3, having a span of 5157 mm and a height of 600 mm. The process for the manufacturing consists of 4 steps: 1) connecting the corrugated steel sheets for the web, 2) connecting the shear panels at the ends of the beam, 3) connecting the top and bottom flanges and 4) connecting the end parts of the beam for the rigid connection to the experimental stand, as shown in Figure 5. The first step in only necessary if the corrugated web is not available in one piece. For the current case, the corrugated sheets had a maximum length of 1.05 m and, for a precise initial positioning of the web, the corrugated parts were connected by spot welding.



Figure 5: Stages of beam built-up.

The components of the built-up beams are shown in Figure 6 and are detailed below:

- two back-to-back lipped channel sections for flanges 2×C120/2.0;
- corrugated steel sheets (panels of 1.05 m length with 0.8, 1.0 and 1.2 mm thicknesses);
- additional shear panels flat plates of 1.0 or 1.2 mm;
- reinforcing profiles U150/2.0 used under the load application points;
- bolts M12 grade 8.8 for flange to endplate connection.



Figure 6: Components of the built-up beams.

The position of the MIG brazing is similar for all three specimens (see Figure 7(a)), the difference regards only the thickness of the corrugated web and the shear panel thickness as presented in Table 3.



Figure 7: a) Position of the brazing on the corrugated web beam, b) position of the shear panel.

Thickness				Length of shear
CW1	CW2	CW3	SP1(SP2)	panels*
1.2 mm	0.8 mm	0.8 mm	1.2 mm	470 mm; 570 mm
0.8 mm	0.8 mm	0.8 mm	1.0 mm	470 mm; 570 mm
1.0 mm	0.8 mm	0.8 mm	1.0 mm	470 mm; 570 mm
	CW1 1.2 mm 0.8 mm 1.0 mm	CW1 CW2 1.2 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 1.0 mm 0.8 mm	Thickness CW1 CW2 CW3 1.2 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 1.0 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm	Thickness CW1 CW2 CW3 SP1(SP2) 1.2 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 1.2 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 1.0 mm 1.0 mm 0.8 mm 0.8 mm 1.0 mm

Table 3: Characteristics of the steel sheets used for the beams using MIG brazing.

* the length of the shear panels is different due to variable position of the web corrugation (see Figure 7(b))

Finally, beams with the configuration presented above were loaded in a 2D loading frame, with the test set-up depicted in Figure 8. The beam was loaded by an actuator of 500 kN which transmitted the force to the beam by a mechanism able to distribute the load in 4 points. An out-of-plane independent frame was used to avoid stability problems during loading.



Figure 8: Test setup of the full-scale beams.

The recordings aimed to monitor the displacements at each end of the top and bottom flange, between the flange and the end plate as well as between the end plate and the rigid frame (see Figure 9(a)). The deflection of the beam was monitored at each quarter of the span by 2 wire displacement transducers connected to each of the bottom flange part, as presented in Figure 9(b)). The force was recorded through the actuators load cell.



Figure 9: a) Flange ends instrumentation, b) Vertical deflection instrumentation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Due to the initial imperfections caused by the MIG brazing process on the lap joint specimens, the results may not be assessed by their initial stiffness. In terms of resistance, the lap joint specimens maximum force is very close to the maximum force of the base materials (see Figure 10).

Also, contrary to the simple specimens tested in [4] by using spot welding, the maximum resistance of the MIG brazed specimens show similar values for the same set of specimens, meaning that the resistance of the combinations of different sheet thicknesses is the same with the minimum thickness sheet of the lap joint specimen. It may be noticed that, except to the 1.5-2.5 mm combination specimens, the response of the lap joint specimens is a smooth ductile behaviour, with no fragile breakage of the connection.



Figure 10: Response of simple brazed specimens.

For the first tested specimen, CWB-CMT1, presented in Figure 11, the failure mode of the beam started with the buckling of the shear panel (Figure 12(a)), followed by the shear buckling of the corrugations of the web (Figure 12(b)), and finally the shear buckling of the web plates and buckling of the flanges (Figure 12(c)). The behaviour of CWB-CMT1 beam was ductile and the maximum load is reached at $F_{max} = 368.2$ kN (the initial stiffness K_{0-Exp} is not available). The collapse appears for a displacement of 96.6 mm.



Figure 11: Beam CWB-CMT1 – before and after the test.



Figure 12: Failure stages for CWB-CMT1: a) shear panels buckling; b) corrugations shear buckling; c) web shear buckling and flange local buckling.

The second beam, CWB-CMT2 (Figure 13) presented a similar mechanism of the failure mode starting with the buckling of the shear panel (Figure 14(a)), followed by the shear buckling of the corrugations (Figure 14(b)), and finally, the shear buckling of the web sheets (Figure 14(c)). The behaviour was ductile, with an initial stiffness of $K_{0-Exp} = 22559$ N/mm and the maximum capacity is achieved at $F_{max} = 227.9$ kN.



Figure 13: Beam CWB-CMT2 – before and after the testing.



Figure 14: Failure stages for CWB-CMT2: a) shear panels buckling; b) corrugations distortions; c) web plates shear buckling.

An optical monitoring system was used in order to assess the behaviour of the shear panels during loading. The shear panels were monitored using a digital image correlation system (DIC) provided by *isi-sys GmbH*. Two GT6600 Prosilica series of high resolution cameras (29 Mpix) with 35 mm lenses, recorded images for a 3D evaluation of the out of plane displacements and strain of the shear panel, at an acquisition frequency of 1 Hz.

A facet size (number of pixels per subset) and a step size (the spacing of the points that are analysed during correlation) of 10×10 and 5, respectively, were considered for an initial processing.

Figure 15 depicts the evolution during testing of the out of plane deformations (a, b, c) and the corresponding principal strains (d, e, f) of a monitored shear panel.



Figure 15: Evolution of the out of plane deformations (a, b, c) and the corresponding principal strains (d, e, f) of a given shear panel.

Although the strains at the beginning of the tests are null, the out-of-plane images recorded imperfections due to fabrication up to 11 mm. In the final stage of the loading, after the buckling, the shear panel exhibited a local distortion where substantial strains were concentrated.

The third beam (see Figure 16), CWB-CMT3, presented a similar mechanism of the failure mode starting with the buckling of the shear panels, Figure 17(a), followed by the shear buckling of the corrugations, Figure 17(b), and, finally, the web plates shear buckling, Figure 17(c). The behaviour was ductile, with an initial stiffness of $K_{0-Exp} = 24792$ N/mm and the maximum capacity is achieved at $F_{max} = 273.5$ kN.



Figure 16: Beam CWB-CMT3 – before and after the testing.



Figure 17: Failure stages of CWB-CMT3: a) shear panels buckling; b) corrugations shear buckling; c) web plates shear buckling.

The force-displacement curves are depicted in Figure 18 where it is observed that the higher capacity correspond to the higher thicknesses of the shear panels and corrugated web panels of the specimens.



Figure 18: Force-displacement curves for the full scale built-up beams.

Compared to the spot welding solution [4], it may be seen that the MIG brazed specimens present a higher rigidity, as well as higher capacities, as shown in Table 4 and Figure 19.

Beam type	<i>K</i> _{0-Exp} (N/mm)	F _{max-Exp} (kN)
CWB-CMT1	N/A	368.2
CWB-CMT2	22559	227.9
CWB-CMT3	24792	273.5
CWB-SW1	11353	283.8
CWB-SW2	15847	276.0

Table 4: Results of the corrugated web beams with MIG brazing and spot welding.



Figure 19: Force-displacement curves for the full scale built-up beams: MIG brazing vs. spot welding.

4 CONCLUSIONS

Within the WELLFORMED research project, carried out at the CEMSIG Research Center of the Politehnica University of Timisoara, an extensive experimental program on built-up coldformed steel beams using MIG brazing as connecting technology was performed.

The paper presents the experimental results on tensile tests on lap joint specimens, in order to characterize the behaviour of these connections and on full scale tests of three beams subjected to bending. The experiments were accompanied by tensile tests, to characterise the material behaviour.

Although base material fracture was also recorded, the most common failure mode for the tensile tests on lap joint brazed specimens was the fracture in the heat affected zone. Both the resistance and the ductility obtained for the tested specimens are very good and compared to similar specimens tested using self-drilling screws [5,6], or the specimens connected by spot welding [4], the capacity is very much increased.

The experimental results of full scale specimens shown:

- both the capacity and the ductility obtained for the tested specimens are very good;
- compared to the solution studied in [4], the results show an increased rigidity mainly due to the stabilizing effect of the brazing; a higher capacity may be attained but depends on the web thicknesses.

It is considered that the major advantage of the MIG brazing joints is the increased stability of the corrugations since no distortion of the web corrugations was recorded. A disadvantage of the current solution is the increased time for manufacturing compared to spot welding solution.

The results are encouraging and demonstrate the potential of this solution for standardization and industrial manufacturing. Nevertheless, the experimental research will be followed by numerical simulations and by parametric studies to see the suitability of such beams to larger spans and the limits of the system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS/CCCDI-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P2-2.1-PED-2016-1684 / WELLFORMED - *Fast welding cold-formed steel beams of corrugated sheet web*, within PNCDI III.

REFERENCES

- [1] EN 1993-1-5, Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures Part 1-5: Plated structural elements, CEN, Brussels, 2006.
- [2] EN 1993-1-1, Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings, CEN, Brussels, 2005.
- [3] EN 1993-1-3, Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures. Part 1-3: General Rules. Supplementary rules for cold-formed thin gauge members and sheeting, CEN, Brussels, 2006.
- [4] Ungureanu V., Both I., Burca M., Grosan M., Neagu C., Dubina D., "Built-up cold-formed steel beams using resistance spot welding: experimental investigations", *Proc. of the Eighth International Conference on Thin-Walled Structures, ICTWS 2018*, Lisbon, Portugal, 24-27/07, 2018 (in this volume).
- [5] Dubina D., Ungureanu V., Gîlia L., "Experimental investigations of cold-formed steel beams of corrugated web and built-up section for flanges", *Thin-Walled Structures* **90**, 159-170, 2015.
- [6] Dubina D., Ungureanu V., Gîlia L., "Cold-formed steel beams with corrugated web and discrete web-to-flange fasteners", *Steel Construction*, 6(2) (2013) 74-81.
- [7] Hackl H., MIG brazing of galvanised light-gauge sheets, www.fronius.com
- [8] Milner D.R., Apps R.L., *Introduction to Welding and Brazing*, Robert Maxwell MC MP Minnesota, 1969.
- [9] Selvi S., Vishvaksenan A., Rajasekar E., "Cold metal transfer (CMT) technology An overview", *Defence Technology*, **14**, 28-44, 2018.
- [10] Iordachescu D., Quintino L., Miranda R., Pimenta G., "Influence of shielding gases and process parameters on metal transfer and bead shape in MIG brazed joints of the thin zinc coated steel plates", *Materials & Design*, **27** (5), 381-390, 2006.
- [11] Kumar N. P., Vendan S. A., Shanmugam N. S., "Investigations on the parametric effects of cold metal transfer process on the microstructural aspects in AA6061". *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 658, 255-264, 2016.
- [12] Zhang H., Liu J., Feng J., "Effect of auxiliary TIG arc on formation and microstructures of aluminum alloy/stainless steel joints made by MIG welding-brazing process", *Transactions of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, 24 (9), 2831-2838, 2014
- [13] Shome M., Metal inert gas (MIG) brazing and friction stir spot welding of advanced high-strength steels, Welding and Joining of Advanced High Strength Steels (AHSS), Woodhead Publishing, 137-165, Waltham, USA, 2015.
- [14] Jing S., Kehong W., Qi Z., Deku Z., Jun H., Guangle L., Microstructure Characteristics and Properties of Mg/Al Dissimilar Metals Made by Cold Metal Transfer Welding with ER4043 Filler Metal", *Rare Metal Materials and Engineering*, 42 (7), 1337-1341, 2013.
- [15] Pang J., Hua S., Shena J., Wang P., Lianga Y., "Arc characteristics and metal transfer behavior of CMT + P welding process". *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 238, 212-217, 2016
- [16] EN 14640, Welding consumables. Solid wires and rods for fusion welding of copper and copper alloys. Classification, CEN, Brussels, 2005.