



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	2014_JSRR_12090
Title of the Manuscript:	HIGHLIGHTING OF THE ALGERIAN EXPERIENCE IN THE INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - would be useful a map with watersheds delineation, the location of existing and proposed hydraulic structures (dams, diverting channels etc.), the location of consumers and points of possible water pollution; - The amounts required for the proposed investments (Table 1) include the cost of works for environmental protection, soil erosion control etc., according to the principles of integrated water resources management? 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	NO
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	NO
Are there plagiarism issues in this manuscript?	NO

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer.

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	9



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erika BEILICCI
Department, University & Country	Department of Hydrotechnical Engineering, Politechnica University Timisoara, Romania
Position: (Professor/researcher/lecturer, etc.)	lecturer
Email:	beilicci_erika@yahoo.com
5-10 Keywords to describe specialization/expertise	Hydrology, hydrogeology, water resources management, soil erosion, environment protection